(b) The Construction of the building on the plot of land could not commence due to non-confirmation of availability of funds for the proposal from the CPWD. Further, a modified design of the building is being prepared so that the cost of construction of the building is limited to Rs. 1.00 crore.

(c) No specific date for commencement of construction of the building can be indicated as it depends upon availability of resources.

National Anti-Malaria Programme

1254. DR.VIJAY MALLYA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the amount allocated under National Anti-Malaria Programme since 1996-97 till date, year-wise and State-wise;

(b) whether Government are aware that his Ministry has reduced its assistance drastically to the State of Karnataka:

(c) if so, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether Government of Karnataka has requested Government to reimburse 50 per cent of expenses incurred by the State on non-salary Portion or an equal amount in the form of supplying medical equipment; and

(e) if so, the action taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI A. RAJA): (a) Statement showing the distribution of Central assistance to various States under the National Anti Malaria Programme (NAMP) since 1996-97 is at Statement (See below)

(b) to (e) The allocation of Central assistance under National Anti-Malaria Programme (NAMP) is made taking into account the technical requirements availability of stock of materials with the State Government at the end of financial year and availability of funds as per budgetary outlay. Central assistance is released to Karnataka in kind as per the approved pattern of assistance under the NAMP.

Statement

State-wise Distribution of Central Assistance during 1996-97 to 2001-02 under National Anti-Malaria Programme (NAMP)

States/UTs	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000 :	2000-2001	2001-02
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Andhra Pradesh	634.63	617.00	482.93	663.50	644.13	408.88
Arunachal Pradesh	126.00	297.50	186.61	229.22	293.79	364.67
Assam	1660.83	2618.00	2170.42	2616.73	2657.86	2377.47
Bihar	206.76	348.98	403.05	578.66	83.20	525.94
Chhattisgarh	—	—	—	—	—	620.62
Goa	3.46	5.18	7.72	. 4.54	0.98	6.17
Gujarat	471.75	726.17	611.11	349.95	211.24	115.63
Haryana	327.77	291.08	260.39	160.95	78.35	18.42
Himachal Pradesh	118.33	90.94	51.77	92.45	89.06	36.78
J&K	120.62	78.62	72.57	103.40	84.28	69.62
Jharkhand	853.62	568.62	264.47	229.29	233.36	585.62
Karnataka						369.55
Kerala	53.62	63.20	102.73	49.63	75.92	42.78
Madhya Pradesh	769.35	1072.77	450.49	443.28	711.53	1090.25
Maharashtra	2405.71	1028.44	260.26	181.51	286.74	518.50
Manipur	303.28	273.91	377.34	219.53	235.72	275.28
Meghalaya	222.93	196.96	231.55	212.27	303.58	290.37
Mizoram	106.07	132.00	172.53	190.05	235.26	345.85
Nagaland	122.45	212.62	183.34	308.33	278.91	368.08
Orissa	248.15	233.43	385.14	436.17	547.64	824.12
Punjab	282.79	183.26	290.67	148.45	148.31	94.09
Rajasthan	2025.35	1799.74	1994.15	1075.71	286.86	788.45
Sikkim	39.34	1.77	8.47	7.90	0.12	0.14
Tamil Nadu	150.39	204.88	240.72	114.91	133.90	85.72
Tripura	300.67	414.05	356.97	379.31	480.94	505.76
Uttar Pradesh	941.71	505.73	1121.92	527.80	544.11	637.44
Uttranchal	772.70	125.71	330.90	501.99	454.44	39.19
West Bengal						701.72
Delhi	117.88	93.93	37.21	20.10	100.45	89.57
Pondicherry A &	16.12	48.53	6.15	11.28	13.56	8.30
N Islands	94.04	24.75	155.68	111.28	231.73	220.78
Chandigarh	46.33	12.37	44.30	34.55	44.81	35.51

States/UTs	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	20002001	2001-02
D & N Haveti	12.73	66.04	24.90	34.85	18.12	40.67
Daman & Diu	8.80	3.48	10.08	12.97	9.90	18.64
Lakshadweep	2.10	12.48	5.24	5.82	5.57	5.29
TOTAL:	13567.21	12352.34	11305.48	10055.84	9524.39	12525.87
Kala-azar	350.00	970.73	1000.00	1000.00	999.98	767.29
Estt. Research/ Publicity	470.51	513.76	571.10	541.35	578.75	519.20
EAC (World Bank Project)—		414.00	3517.39	6064.95	8185.89	8358.03
NDCP	14387.72	14250.83	16393.97	17662.14	19229.01	22170.39
GRAND TOTAI						

Features of new Health Policy

1255. SHRI RAMA MUNI REDDY SIRIGIREDDY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the new Health Policy envisages injection of more funds in the health sector;

(b) the present rate of GDP that Government are spending in health sector;

(c) the proposed rate of GDP that Goverment are thinking of infusing in the health sector;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the policy also envisages enforcement of two years rural posting before a MBBS student gets his degree;

(e) if so.the details thereof; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI A. RAJA): (a) The new National Health Policy envisages an increse in aggregate health sector expenditure from 5.2% of GDP to 6% of GDP by the year 2010.

(b) and (c) The public expenditure on health during 1999 is estimated to be 0.9% of GDP.The NHP-2002 envisages increasing the public health investment to 2% of GDP by the year 2010.